

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

> Honorable King Fike County Attorney Hertley County Dalhart. Texas

Dear Bir:

Opinion No. 0-1156
No: Would a sheriff on a fee
basis we entitled to charge
as expenses of his office
the purchase price of an
extensible used by him as
sheriff

We are in receipt of your epidion request bearing date of July 18, 1959, wherein you propound the fellowing questions:

"I. Would a sheriff who is being paid on a fee basis in a county with a population such as Hartley County be entitled to charge up as expenses of his office the purchase price of an automobile used by him as such sheriff?

P2. Would he be entitled to charge up as expenses of his office the depreciation of the automobile used by him as such shortf?

"5. Would be be entitled to charge up as expenses of his office car expenses?"

Article 5899 of the Revised Civil Statutes of Texas, as amended, bearing the heading "Expense Accounts", provides as follows:

"At the close of each month of his tenure of office, each officer mamed here-in who is compensated on a fee basis shall make as part of the report now required by

law, an itemized and sworn statement of all the actual and necessary expenses insurred by him in the scaduet of his office . . The amount of such expenses, together with the amount of salaries paid to assistants, deputies and elerks shall be paid out of the fees earned by such efficer. The Commissioners' Court of the county of the sheriff's residence may, upon the written and sworn application of the sheriff stating the necessity therefor, allow one or more automobiles to be pur chased by the sheriff in the discharge of his official duties, which, if purchased by the county shall be bought in the manner prescribed by law for the purchase of supplies and paid for out of the general fund of the county, and they shall be and remain the property of the county. The expense of maintenance, depreciation and operation of such automobiles as may be allowed, whether purchased by the county or ewned by the sheriff or his deputies personally, shall be paid for by the sheriff and the amount thereof shall be reported by the sheriff, on the report above mentioned, in the same manner as herein provided for other expenses."

In answer to your question No. 1, you may be adfised that it is the opinion of this Department that the
Commissioners' Court of a county, upon the written and
sworn application of the sheriff stating the necessity
therefor, may allow one or more automobiles to be purchased by the sheriff, to be used in the discharge of his
official duties, which, if purchased by the county, shall
be paid for out of the general fund and shall remain the
property of the county. The sheriff may, of course, purchase an automobile out of his own personal funds, to be
used in the discharge of his efficial dutiesk but in no
instance would the sheriff be permitted to purchase a personal automobile and to charge the purchase price thereof
as expenses of his effice.

In answer to your question No. 2, we wish to advise that it is our opinion that the sheriff would be en-

titled to charge up as expense of his office, the expense of depreciation upon an automobile used by him in the discharge of his official duties, whether purchased by the county or owned by the sheriff or his deputies personally.

In answering your question No. 3, you may be advised that it is our epinion that the sheriff would be entitled to charge up as expense of his office necessary entomobile expenses incurred by the sheriff in the discharge of his official duties, whether said automobile was purchased by the dounty or owned by the sheriff or his deputies personally.

It is our epinion that in either event the sheriff of the sounty would not be entitled to any allowance
for maintenance, depreciation or operation of such autmobile, unless he had complied with the strict wording of the
statute as to the making of a written and sworn application
stating the necessity therefor, with a subsequent action on
the part of the Commissioners' Court, acknowledging the neeessity for suck expenditure, and by special order directing and allowing such expenditure to be made. It also follows that no purchase of an automobile by a county could
he made unless there had first been a strict compliance
with the provisions made therefor in the statute.

Trusting that this enswers your questions, as propounded, we remain

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

(Signed) Edgar Cale Edgar Cale Assistant

APPROVED: SEET. 18, 1959 (Signed) Gerald C. Mann ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TRIAS

EC:FG

APPROVED: Upinion Committee By. R. . W. F. Chairman